Practitioner's Docket No. 2003-1956.CIP

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Nippon Shokubai Co., Ltd.

Serial No.: 10/632,030 Filed: July 31, 2003

For: Production process for catalyst

Examiner: NGUYEN, Cam N.

Group No.: 1754

Confirmation No.: 9655

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 OK TO ENTER! ONN-11/7/05

Amendment and Remarks--Introduction

This paper is being filed in response to the Advisory Action of October 13, 2005 and to the Office Action of July 28, 2005.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

The Remarks section begins on page 4 of this paper.

{18450.DOC}

I hereby certify that this correspondence and the documents referred to as enclosed therein are being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 to 1-571-273-8300 by applicant's attorney, Robert J. Jacobson.

(Amendment and Remarks--page 1 of 6) PAGE 3/8 * RCVD AT 10/27/2005 10:11:53 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-6/26 * DNIS:2738300 * CSID:651 699 7901 * DURATION (mm-ss):01-52

Ø 001/008

OCT 27 2005

Practitioner's Docket No. 2003-1956.CIP

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Nippon Shokubai Co., Ltd.

Serial No.: 10/632,030 Filed: July 31, 2003

For: Production process for catalyst

Examiner: NGUYEN, Cam N.

Group No.: 1754

Confirmation No.: 9655

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Certificate of Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that the following documents are being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 to 1-571-273-8300 totaling eight pages by applicant's attorney, Robert J. Jacobson:

- 1. This Certificate of Facsimile Transmission dated October 27, 2005 (one page);
- 2. Amendment Transmittal Letter dated October 27, 2005 (one page); and
- 3. Amendment and Remarks dated October 27, 2005 (six pages).

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 10.27-05

Robert J Jacobson Reg. No. 32,419

Tel. No.: (651) 699-7900 Fax. No.: (651) 699-7901

650 Brimhall Street South St. Paul, MN 55116-1511

Robert J. Jacobson

Ø 002/008

OCT 27 2005

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL LETTER (Large Entity) Applicant(s): Nippon Shokubai Co., Ltd.					Docket No. 2003-1956.CIP	
Application No. 10/632,030	Filing Date July 31, 2003	Examin NGUYEN, C		Customer No. 023165	Group Art Unii 1754	Confirmation No. 9655
Invention: Production process for catalyst						
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application. The fee has been calculated and is transmitted as shown below.						
CLAIMS AS AMENDED						
	CLAIMS REMAINING	HIGHEST #		ER EXTRA	RATE	ADDITIONAL
TOTAL CLAIMS	AFTER AMENDMENT	PREV. PAID FOR	CDAIMS	PRESENT x	\$50.00	FEE \$0.00
INDEP. CLAIMS	1 .	3 =		0 x	\$200.00	\$0.00
Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable)						\$0.00
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT \$0.00						
 □ Please charge Deposit Account No. in the amount of □ A check in the amount of to cover the filing fee is enclosed. ☑ The Director is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 50-3288 ☑ Any additional filing fees required under 37 C.F.R. 1.18. □ Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17. □ Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038. WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card Information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card Information and authorization on PTO-2038. 						
Thereby certify that this correspondence and the documents referred to as enclosed therein are being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 to 1-571-273-8300 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 to 1-571-273-8300 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent and Trademark Office October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent And Trademark Office October 27, 2005 Compared to the United States Patent And Trademark						